

Hearing Status: CONFIRMED

Physician: Dr. Roger Hodgkinson

Date of Hearing: November 18-21, 2024

Time: 9:00 AM

Location: ZOOM

Charges:

1. On or about November 13, 2020, you participated in a virtual public meeting before the Edmonton City Council and identified yourself as a medical specialist in pathology and former assistant professor in the faculty of medicine at the University of Alberta and made statements regarding public health measures in response to the COVID 19 Pandemic that were unprofessional, for one or more of the following reasons:
 - a) One or more of the statements were presented as medical opinion that was outside the scope of practice as a pathologist and you failed to state that limitation;
 - b) One or more of the statements were contrary to the Canadian Medical Association Code of Ethics and Professionalism, including one or more of the following sections 31, 32, 33, 39 and 41;

And further particulars of the unprofessional statements made by you on November 13, 2020 include, but are not limited to one or more of the following statements;

- c) *It should be of nothing more than a bad flu season.*
 - d) *Masks are utterly useless, there is no evidence based with their effectiveness whatsoever.*
 - e) *Give them all three to five thousand international units of vitamin D every day which has been shown to radically reduce the likelihood of infection.*
 - f) *You're being led down the garden path by the chief medical officer of health for this province.*
2. On or about April 9, 2021, you participated in a media interview with Anna Brees that was posted on Twitter (now known as X) and you were identified as a medical specialist in pathology and made statements regarding public health measures in

response to the COVID 19 Pandemic that were unprofessional, for one or more of the following reasons:

- a) One or more of the statements were presented as medical opinion that was outside the scope of practice as a pathologist and you failed to state that limitation;
- b) One or more of the statements were contrary to the Canadian Medical Association Code of Ethics and Professionalism, including one or more of the following sections 31, 32, 33, 39 and 41;

And further particulars of the unprofessional statements made by you on or about April 9, 2021 include, but are not limited to one or more of the following statements:

- c) *So, the vaccine is first of all unnecessary. Secondly, it's reckless in terms of how it's being introduced because it's certainly not been shown to be safe.*
- d) *Anyone that's saying that this vaccine is safe without any qualification is guilty, in my opinion, if they're in medicine, of medical malpractice.*

3. On or about April 11, 2021 a video with you and Dr. M was posted online in which you identified yourself as a medical specialist in pathology and former assistant professor in the faculty of medicine at the University of Alberta and made statements regarding public health measures in response to the COVID 19 Pandemic that were unprofessional, for one or more of the following reasons:

- a) One or more of the statements were inaccurate or misleading and did not reflect the minimum standard of competence of a pathologist generally, and in particular the minimum standard of competence regarding population level screening and testing;
- b) One or more of the statements were contrary to the Canadian Medical Association Code of Ethics and Professionalism, including one or more of the following sections 39 and 41;

And further particulars of the unprofessional statements made by you in the video posted on or about April 12, 2021 include, but are not limited to one or more of the following statements;

- c) *However, all the measures being currently undertaken, including masks, these things I'm sure you're familiar with them, social distancing and closures of all kinds have no consensus for effectiveness in the medical literature. And, in fact, the weight of evidence is against these measures.*
- d) *Let me state emphatically again: nothing works to control the spread of this virus.*
- e) *And the second driver is an asymptomatic testing, which is not only useless because of countless false positives, but counterproductive because it drives ongoing public hysteria.*
- f) *Failure to halt the testing of asymptomatic people, it should stop immediately. It has no purpose.*
- g) *Failure to promote the lifesaving potential of vitamin D, which is totally safe, cheap, and has overwhelming evidence of protection from COVID-19. What used to be called the season of coughs and colds is now being recognized as the season*

of vitamin D deficiency. In a month or so, the sun will come up again and we will all start making vitamin D in our skin. And guess what, the pandemic will disappear again.

- h) *Failure to communicate to the general public the inadequacies of PCR testing. There are no published statistics in Alberta regarding the percentage of false positive results by PCR based methods.*
 - i) *So, I repeat, for effect, nothing works to stop the spread of this virus through society. Nothing could work. Nothing did work. And nothing will work.*
4. On or about April 14, 2021, you participated in a media interview with Rebel News and identified yourself as a medical specialist in pathology and former assistant professor in the faculty of medicine at the University of Alberta and made statements regarding public health measures in response to the COVID 19 Pandemic that were unprofessional, for one or more of the following reasons:
- a) One or more of the statements were inaccurate or misleading and did not reflect the minimum standard of competence of a pathologist generally, and in particular the minimum standard of competence regarding population level screening and testing;
 - b) One or more of the statements were contrary to the Canadian Medical Association Code of Ethics and Professionalism, including one or more of the following sections 39 and 41;

And further particulars of the unprofessional statements made by you on April 14, 2021 include, but are not limited to one or more of the following statements;

- c) *In my estimation, approximately 70 percent of the testing that's being done on asymptomatic people, they're falsely positive.*
- d) *It's no different now. We're seeing people labelled as having Covid, again, because of a massively wrong testing protocol, done by largely inexperienced people, a test that was never designed for this type of application. This -- this test should be shut down tomorrow, just like I would shut down a lab reporting 70 percent false positive Pap smears. It's from a strictly medical pathology perspective. This is absolutely outrageous use of technology.*
- e) *Harkening back to these asymptomatic test results, the vast majority of them in asymptomatic people are falsely positive.*
- f) *So, the multiplier effect of asymptomatic testing is just grotesque. It simply should stop immediately. There's no need for it from a medical point of view. If you're sick and you go to hospital, then you need testing, and the testing that's done then should be by the gold standard method which is called sanguis sequencing, which is how the Genome project was done. That's the only method to categorically identify someone as having Covid. They're not doing that.*

Although open to the public, the Hearing Tribunal can close a portion or all of the Hearing at any time. When this occurs, all those who registered to attend will be asked to leave.

To attend, please contact Hearings.Director@cpsa.ab.ca by **November 11, 2024**.

Please note that this schedule is subject to change.

Inquiries can be directed to:
Hearings.Director@cpsa.ab.ca